Corollary 1. The lattice \( c_{\omega}^\infty \) all totally \( \omega \)-composition formations is \( G \)-separated.

Corollary 2. The lattice \( c_{\tau}^\infty \) all \( \tau \)-closed totally composition formations is \( G \)-separated.
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Definition of invertibility property for loops via translations

Alla Lutsenko

A quasigroup can be defined as a groupoid \((Q; \cdot)\) in which all left translations \( L_a (L_a(x) := a \cdot x) \) and all right translations \( R_a (R_a(x) := x \cdot a) \) are bijections of the carrier \( Q \). In a quasigroup, a definition of a middle translation \( M_a (M_a(x) = y :\Leftrightarrow xy = a) \) is also possible. Therefore, an element \( e \) of a quasigroup is neutral, if left and right translations defined by \( e \) are identical transformations of the carrier: \( L_e = R_e = \iota \). A quasigroup having a neutral element is called a loop.

The invertibility property also can be defined via translations of a quasigroup. Remember that a quasigroup has \([1, 2]\):

- a left inverse property (briefly, a left IP-quasigroup), if there is a transformation \( \lambda \) such that for all \( x, y \lambda x \cdot xy = y \);
- a right inverse property (briefly, a right IP-quasigroup), if there is a transformation \( \rho \) such that for all \( x, y yx \cdot \rho x = y \);
- a left cross inverse property (briefly, a left CIP-quasigroup), if there is a transformation \( \gamma \) such that for all \( x, y \gamma(x) \cdot yx = y \);
- a right cross inverse property (briefly, a right CIP-quasigroup), if there is a transformation \( \delta \) such that for all \( x, y xy \cdot \delta(x) = y \).

The defining equalities can be written as \( L_{\lambda x} L_x = \iota, R_{\rho x} R_x = \iota, L_{\gamma x} R_x = \iota, R_{\delta x} L_x = \iota \) respectively \([1]\), i.e.,

\[
L_x^{-1} = L_{\lambda x}, \quad R_x^{-1} = R_{\rho x}, \quad R_x^{-1} = L_{\gamma x}, \quad L_x^{-1} = R_{\delta x}.
\]

Thus, the common property for all these classes of quasigroups is the following: each translation of a quasigroup is also a translation of the quasigroup.
We consider the property in the variety of loops for all kinds of translations: left, right and middle. There are 9 defining relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
L_x^{-1} &= L_{ax}, & L_x^{-1} &= R_{ax}, & L_x^{-1} &= M_{ax}, & R_x^{-1} &= R_{ax}, & R_x^{-1} &= L_{ax}, \\
R_x^{-1} &= M_{ax}, & M_x^{-1} &= M_{ax}, & M_x^{-1} &= L_{ax}, & M_x^{-1} &= R_{ax}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 1.** If inverses of some kind of translations of a loop \((Q;\cdot,e)\) are also translations of some fixed kind, then the loop belongs to one of the following classes of loops:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Relation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left IP-loop</td>
<td>(L_x^{-1} = L_{ax}) (x^{-1} \cdot xy = y)</td>
<td>(L_x^{-1} = L_{ax}) (x^{-1} \cdot xy = y) left IP-loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right IP-loop</td>
<td>(R_x^{-1} = R_{ax}) (yx \cdot x^{-1} = y)</td>
<td>(R_x^{-1} = R_{ax}) (yx \cdot x^{-1} = y) right IP-loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left CTP-loop</td>
<td>(R_x^{-1} = L_{ax}) (-x \cdot yx = y)</td>
<td>(R_x^{-1} = L_{ax}) (-x \cdot yx = y) left CTP-loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right CTP-loop</td>
<td>(L_x^{-1} = R_{ax}) (xy \cdot x^{-1} = y)</td>
<td>(L_x^{-1} = R_{ax}) (xy \cdot x^{-1} = y) right CTP-loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right symmetric loop</td>
<td>(L_x^{-1} = M_{ax}) (xy \cdot y = x)</td>
<td>(L_x^{-1} = M_{ax}) (xy \cdot y = x) right symmetric loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-symmetric loop</td>
<td>(M_x^{-1} = L_{ax}) (xy \cdot x = y)</td>
<td>(M_x^{-1} = L_{ax}) (xy \cdot x = y) semi-symmetric loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commutative loop</td>
<td>(M_x^{-1} = M_{ax}) (yx = xy)</td>
<td>(M_x^{-1} = M_{ax}) (yx = xy) commutative loop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \(-x \cdot x = e\) and \(x \cdot x^{-1} = e\).
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**Models of Cryptography Transformations Based on Quasigroups**

**Volodymyr Luzhetskyi, Yurii Baryshev**

It is intuitively obvious, that usage of unknown cryptographic transformations should be more secure against breaking, than usage of known ones. Modern cryptography approaches alters that statements reasoning, that the transformation infeasibility of breaking should be visible for customers for the verification sake. Consequently, the task of this transformation modeling to find the way out of the contradictive conditions arose.

According to the automatons definition given in [1] they were used to describe cryptographic transformations. An open cryptographic algorithm from the cryptanalysis point of view could be described as one performed by a deterministic automaton ADC [2]:

\[
ADC = (PT, CT, k, IS, f(*)),
\]

where \(PT\) – a set of all possible plaintexts; \(CT\) – a set of all possible ciphertext; \(k\) – used key; \(f(*)\) – a function, which formalize known to an intruder cryptographic transformations.