

Leibniz algebras with the specific types of subalgebras

LEONID A. KURDACHENKO, IGOR YA. SUBBOTIN, VIKTORIIA S. YASHCHUK

Let L be an algebra over a field F with the binary operations $+$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Then L is called a *Leibniz algebra* (more precisely a *left Leibniz algebra*), if it satisfies the (left) Leibniz identity: $[[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$ for all $a, b, c \in L$.

Leibniz algebra appeared first in the papers of A.M. Bloh [1], in which he called them D -algebras. Real interest in Leibniz algebras arose only after two decades thanks to the work of J.L. Loday [2].

A subspace A of a Leibniz algebra L is called a *subalgebra*, if $[x, y] \in A$ for all elements $x, y \in A$. A subalgebra A is called a *left* (respectively *right*) ideal of L , if $[y, x] \in A$ (respectively $[x, y] \in A$) for every $x \in A, y \in L$. In other words, if A is a left (respectively right) ideal, then $[L, A] \leq A$ (respectively $[A, L] \leq A$). The *center* $\zeta(L)$ of L is defined by the rule: $\zeta(L) = \{x \in L \mid [x, y] = 0 = [y, x] \text{ for each element } y \in L\}$. A subalgebra A of L is called an *contraideal* of L , if $A^L = L$. A Leibniz algebra L is called *extraspecial*, if $[L, L] = \zeta(L)$ has dimension 1.

THEOREM 1. *Let L be a soluble Leibniz algebra, whose subalgebras are either ideals or contraideals. Then L is an algebra of one of following types:*

- (i) L is abelian;
- (ii) $L = E \oplus Z$, where E is an extraspecial subalgebra such that $[e, e] \neq 0$ for each element $e \notin \zeta(E)$ and $Z \leq \zeta(L)$;
- (iii) $L = D \oplus Fb$, where $[y, y] = 0 = [b, b]$, $[b, y] = y = -[y, b]$ for every $y \in D$, in particular, L is a Lie algebra;
- (iv) $L = D \oplus Fb$, where $[y, y] = [y, b] = 0 = [b, b]$, $[b, y] = y$ for every $y \in D$, in particular, $D = [L, L] = \mathbf{Leib}(L)$;
- (v) $L = B \oplus A$, where $A = Fa_1 \oplus Fc_1$, $[a_1, a_1] = c_1, [c_1, a_1] = 0, [a_1, c_1] = c_1$ and $[b, b] = [b, a_1] = [b, c_1] = [c_1, b] = 0, [a_1, b] = b$ for every $b \in B$, in particular, $B \oplus Fc_1 = [L, L] = \mathbf{Leib}(L)$.
- (vi) $\mathbf{char}(F) = 2, L = D \oplus Fa$, where D has a basis $\{z, b_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ such that $[a, a] = \alpha z, [a, b_\lambda] = b_\lambda = [b_\lambda, a], [a, z] = [z, a] = 0, [z, b_\lambda] = [b_\lambda, z] = 0$ and $0 \neq [b_\lambda, b_\lambda] \in Fz, \lambda \in \Lambda, [b_\lambda, b_\mu] = 0$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \mu$, in particular, $D = [L, L], Fz = \mathbf{Leib}(L)$.

References

1. A.M. Bloh, *On a generalization of the concept of Lie algebra*, Doklady AN USSR **165** (1965), 471–473 (in Russian).
2. J.L. Loday, *Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz*, L'Enseignement Mathématique **39** (1993), 269–293.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Leonid A. Kurdachenko

Department of Geometry and Algebra, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine

Email address: lkurdachenko@i.ua

Igor Ya. Subbotin

Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, National University, Los Angeles, USA

Email address: isubboti@nu.edu

Viktoriia S. Yashchuk

Department of Geometry and Algebra, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine

Email address: Viktoriia.S.Yashchuk@gmail.com

Key words and phrases. Leibniz algebra, ideal, contraideal, extraspecial Leibniz algebra

Cramer's rules for Sylvester-type quaternion matrix equations

IVAN KYRCHEI

Consider the two-sided generalized Sylvester matrix equation

$$\mathbf{AXB} + \mathbf{CYD} = \mathbf{E} \tag{1}$$

over the quaternion skew field \mathbb{H} . The Sylvester matrix equation has far reaching applications in different fields (see, e.g., [1]). Its solving is based on generalized inverses which are important tools in solving of matrix equations. Let for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{H}^{m \times n}$, \mathbf{A}^\dagger mean its Moore-Penrose generalized inverse, i.e. the exclusive matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times m}$ satisfying $\mathbf{AXA} = \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{XAX} = \mathbf{X}$, $(\mathbf{AX})^* = \mathbf{AX}$, $(\mathbf{XA})^* = \mathbf{XA}$. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{L}_A = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{R}_A = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\dagger$ be a couple of projectors induced by \mathbf{A} . In [2] the solvability conditions to Eq. (1) was obtained and its general solution was expressed in terms of generalized inverses as follows:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{E} \mathbf{B}^\dagger - \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{C} \mathbf{M}^\dagger \mathbf{R}_A \mathbf{E} \mathbf{B}^\dagger - \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{C}^\dagger \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L}_B \mathbf{N}^\dagger \mathbf{D} \mathbf{B}^\dagger - \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{R}_N \mathbf{D} \mathbf{B}^\dagger + \mathbf{L}_A \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{R}_B,$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{M}^\dagger \mathbf{R}_A \mathbf{E} \mathbf{D}^\dagger + \mathbf{L}_M \mathbf{S}^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{C}^\dagger \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L}_B \mathbf{N}^\dagger + \mathbf{L}_M (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{S}^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{N}^\dagger) + \mathbf{W} \mathbf{R}_D,$$

where \mathbf{U} , \mathbf{V} , \mathbf{Z} and \mathbf{W} are arbitrary matrices of suitable sizes over \mathbb{H} , $\mathbf{M} := \mathbf{R}_A \mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{D} \mathbf{L}_B$, and $\mathbf{S} := \mathbf{C} \mathbf{L}_M$.

Using determinantal representations of the Moore-Penrose inverse, previously obtained in [3], within the framework of the theory of quaternion row-column determinants (introduced in [4, 5]), we got in [6] explicit determinantal representation formulas (analogs of Cramer's Rule) for the solution to Eq. (1) and to its special cases when its first term or both terms are one-sided. The Cramer's Rules for general, Hermitian, or η -Hermitian solutions ($\eta \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$) to the Sylvester-type matrix equations involving $*$ -Hermiticity or η -Hermiticity (i.e. when in Eq. (1), $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^*$ and $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{C}^*$, or $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{\eta*}$ and $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{C}^{\eta*}$, respectively) are derived in [7].

References

1. C.C. Took, D.P. Mandic, *Augmented second-order statistics of quaternion random signals*, Sign. Process. **91** (2011), 214–224.
2. Q.W. Wang, J.W. van der Woude, H.X. Chang, *A system of real quaternion matrix equations with applications*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009), 2291–2303.
3. I. Kyrchei, *Determinantal representations of the Moore-Penrose inverse over the quaternion skew field and corresponding Cramer's rules*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **59** (2011), no. 4, 413–431.
4. I. Kyrchei, *Cramer's rule for quaternion systems of linear equations*, Fundam. Prikl. Mat. **13** (2007), no. 4, 67–94.
5. I. Kyrchei, *The theory of the column and row determinants in a quaternion linear algebra*, In: A.R. Baswell (Ed.), *Advances in Mathematics Research* no. 15, 301–359, Nova Science Publ., New York, 2012.
6. I. Kyrchei, *Cramer's Rules for Sylvester quaternion matrix equation and its special cases*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras **28**:90 (2018).
7. I. Kyrchei, *Determinantal representations of general and (skew-)Hermitian solutions to the generalized Sylvester-type quaternion matrix equation*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. ID**5926832** (2019), 14 p.