

A special case of IP-loops is a Moufang loop defined by the identity

$$A(x, A(y, A(x, z))) = A(A(A(x, y), x), z).$$

From the Theoreme the following corollary easy follow.

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $(Q, A)$  be an IP-loop (a Moufang loop), then*

$\Sigma(A) = \overline{\Sigma}_1(A)$  *if and only if*  $I = \varepsilon$ ;

$\Sigma(A) = \overline{\Sigma}_3^3(A)$  *if and only if*  $(Q, A)$  *is commutative and*  $I \neq \varepsilon$ ;

$\Sigma(A) = \overline{\Sigma}_6(A)$  *if and only if*  $(Q, A)$  *is noncommutative.*

Note that the case  $\Sigma(A) = \overline{\Sigma}_2(A)$  ( $\overline{\Sigma}_3^1(A)$  or  $\overline{\Sigma}_3^2(A)$ ) for any IP-loops is impossible.

### References

1. V. D. Belousov, *Foundations of the theory of quasigroups and loops* (in Russian). Moscow, „Nauka“, 1967.
2. C. Lindner, D. Steedly, *On the number of conjugates of a quasigroup*. Algebra Univ. **5** (1975), p. 191-196.
3. T. Popovich, *On conjugate sets of quasigroups*. Bul. Acad. de Stiinte a Republicii Moldova. Matematica, **N1 (59)**, 2012, p. 21-32.
4. G. Belyavskaya, T. Popovich, *Conjugate sets of loops and quasigroups. DC-quasigroups*. Bul. Acad. de Stiinte a Republicii Moldova. Matematica, **N1 (68)**, 2012, p. 21-31.

### CONTACT INFORMATION

#### Tatiana Rotari

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of City „Alec Russo“, Bălți, Moldova  
*Email address:* rotari.tatiana@usarb.md

*Key words and phrases.* Quasigroup, IP-quasigroup, IP-loop, Conjugate sets of IP-quasigroups, Conjugate sets of IP-loops.

## On sublattices of the lattice of multiply saturated formations of finite groups

INNA N. SAFONOVA, VASILY G. SAFONOV

All groups considered are finite. We use terminology and notations from [1]–[3].

Let  $\sigma$  be some partition of the set of all primes  $\mathbb{P}$ , that is,  $\sigma = \{\sigma_i | i \in I\}$ , where  $\mathbb{P} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ ;  $\Pi \subseteq \sigma$  and  $\Pi' = \sigma \setminus \Pi$ . If  $n$  is an integer, the symbol  $\sigma(n)$  denotes the set  $\{\sigma_i | \sigma_i \cap \pi(n) \neq \emptyset\}$ , if  $G$  is a finite group, then  $\sigma(G) = \sigma(|G|)$ , and if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a class of groups, then  $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \bigcup_{G \in \mathfrak{F}} \sigma(G)$ .

A function  $f$  of the form  $f : \sigma \rightarrow \{\text{formations of groups}\}$  is called a *formation  $\sigma$ -function*. For any formation  $\sigma$ -function  $f$  the symbol  $LF_\sigma(f)$  denotes the class

$$LF_\sigma(f) = (G \mid G = 1 \text{ or } G \neq 1 \text{ and } G/O_{\sigma'_i, \sigma_i}(G) \in f(\sigma_i) \text{ for all } \sigma_i \in \sigma(G)).$$

If for some formation  $\sigma$ -function  $f$  we have  $\mathfrak{F} = LF_\sigma(f)$ , then we say, that the class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\sigma$ -local and  $f$  is a  $\sigma$ -local definition of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

We suppose that every formation of groups is  $0$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local; for  $n \geq 1$ , we say that the formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local provided either  $\mathfrak{F} = (1)$  is the formation of all identity groups or  $\mathfrak{F} = LF_\sigma(f)$ , where  $f(\sigma_i)$  is  $(n-1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ . The formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be *totally  $\sigma$ -local* provided  $\mathfrak{F}$  it is  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In the classical case, when  $\sigma = \sigma^1 = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \dots\}$ , a formation  $\sigma$ -function, a  $\sigma$ -local formation and an  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local formation are, respectively, a formation function, a local formation (a saturated formation), and an  $n$ -multiply local formation (an  $n$ -multiply saturated formation) in the usual sense [4]–[6].

As shown in [3] the set  $\mathcal{S}_n^\sigma$  of all  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local formations forms a complete algebraic modular lattice.

**THEOREM 1.** *The lattice  $\mathcal{S}_n^\sigma$  of all  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local formations is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all  $n$ -multiply saturated formations.*

In the case when  $n = 1$ , we get from Theorem 1 the following result.

**COROLLARY 1.** *The lattice  $\mathcal{S}^\sigma$  of all  $\sigma$ -local formations is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all saturated formations.*

### References

1. A.N. Skiba, *On one generalization of the local formations*, Prob. Phys. Math.Tech. **34** (2018), no 1, 79–82.
2. Z. Chi, V.G. Safonov, A.N. Skiba, *On one application of the theory of  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local formations of finite groups*, Prob. Phys. Math.Tech. **35** (2018), no 2, 85–88.
3. Z. Chi, V.G. Safonov, A.N. Skiba, *On  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local formations of finite groups*, Comm. in Algebra. **47** (2019), no. 3, 957–968.
4. L. A. Shemetkov, *Formations of finite groups*, Moscow, Nauka, Main Editorial Board for Physical and Mathematical Literature, 1978.
5. A.N. Skiba *Algebra of formations*, Belarus. Navuka, Minsk, 1997.
6. K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, *Finite Soluble Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1992.

### CONTACT INFORMATION

#### Inna N. Safonova

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Belarusian State University, Minsk, Belarus  
*Email address:* safonova@bsu.by

#### Vasily G. Safonov

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Belarusian State University, Minsk, Belarus  
*Email address:* vgsafonov@bsu.by

*Key words and phrases.* Formation of finite groups, formation  $\sigma$ -function,  $\sigma$ -local formation,  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local formations, lattice of formations

## Elementary reduction of idempotent matrices over semiabelian rings

ANDRII SAHAN

A ring  $R$  is a associative ring with nonzero identity. An elementary  $n \times n$  matrix with entries from  $R$  is a square  $n \times n$  matrix of one of the types below:

- 1) diagonal matrix with invertible diagonal entries;
- 2) identity matrix with one additional non diagonal nonzero entry;
- 3) permutation matrix, i.e. result of switching some columns or rows in the identity matrix.

A ring  $R$  is called a ring with elementary reduction of matrices in case of an arbitrary matrix over  $R$  possesses elementary reduction, i.e. for an arbitrary matrix  $A$  over the ring  $R$  there exist such elementary matrices over  $R$ ,  $P_1, \dots, P_k, Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  of respectful size that

$$P_1 \cdots P_k \cdot A \cdot Q_1 \cdots Q_s = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r, 0, \dots, 0),$$

where  $R\varepsilon_{i+1}R \subseteq R\varepsilon_i \cap \varepsilon_i R$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$ .